Could Tzelophehad's inheritance be given to daughters?

Parashat Pinchas <u>(B'midbar/Numbers 25:10 – 29)</u> 5781 B"H (Updated 7/19/22 5782)

The Law had been given, but clarification was needed

By <u>Numbers 25</u>, Israel had *Torah* (God's instructions), but different situations arose in Israel's history which needed clarification.

One such instance occurred in <u>Numbers (B'midbar) 27</u> when a man named Tzelophehad (commonly spelled, <u>Zelophehad</u>, in English translations) died in the wilderness.

He was survived by 5 daughters.

Tzelophehad's family

It appears Tzelophehad had been a decent man.

Nothing is said about his wife, so she may have died many years earlier.


Yet somehow Tzelophehad managed to raise up 5 thoughtful daughters:

Mahlah, Noah, Hoglah, Milcah, and Tirzah.

Machlach's name refers to "sickness." If she was the firstborn, as suggested by the name-order listing in the Bible, perhaps her mother struggled during childbirth. Noah's name is derived from a word meaning, "movement," referring to

"tr	remb	ling	g" (or	"qu	ive	rin	g."	Per	rhap	s sl	he	was	see	n a	as	"ner	νοι	ıs"
or	"an	xio	us.	" C	hog	lah	' S	nam	e i	s a	bit	c mo	ore	obs	CUI	re,	pro	bab	oly
mea	ning], '	"pai	rtr	idge	e" a	acco	ordi	.ng	to S	Stro	ng '	s H	ebre	W	Con	corc	land	ce.
May	vbe s	she	wa	s a	cr	ier	as	an	in	fant	, b	eca	use	, ac	C 0	rdir	ng t	:0 1	the
Int	ern	<u>ati</u>	ona	1 9	Star	nda	rd	Bib	le	Enc	yclo	ope	dia	pa	art	rid	ges	We	ere
knc	wn t	for	the	eir	lοι	id (crie	es t	hat	att	rac	ted	pl	enty	′ 0	f a	tter	ntic	on.
Mil	lcah	is	s a	fo	rm	of	Ма	lcha	ah,	mea	anin	ng '	"que	een,	"	and	Ti	rtz	zah
des	crit	bes	a '	'fav	ore/	d″	one	or	one	e in	who	om -	ther	e i	s "	'del	igh	t."	

These women knew right from wrong and just from unjust. They were respectful of Moses and they concerned themselves with a legal matter, demonstrating that they also respected YHVH.




The legal precedence was such that sons received a father's inheritance.

But Tzelophehad had no sons!

He did, however, have some independent thinkers!

His daughters concerned themselves with an issue of fairness, seeking justice, not only for themselves, but for the legacy of their father.



Moses & Eleazar hear the daughters' petition

Tzelophehad was never part of <u>Korah</u>'s clan. Tzelophehad's daughters made a special point to ensure their father's legacy would in no way be connected to Korah.

Tzelophehad had nothing to do with the rebellious uprising.

<u>Read about Korah, the (wicked) Social Influencer</u> of their day HERE

Machlah, Noah, Choglah, Milcah, and Tirzah appeared before Moses, Eleazar, and the princes of the entire Israelite assembly at the entrance to the Tent of Meeting.

No sickness or nervousness would keep them from walking in favor.

They did not seek attention for attention's sake.

The 5 sisters had in them the confidence that they were daughters of Israel, queens in the royal kingdom of a holy nation blessed by the Almighty One. They were bound as sisters, and they made their petition *together*.

<u>"Why should our father's name diminish from his</u> family just because he had no son? Give to us property among our father's brothers." (Numbers 27:4)

A verdict from on high

Moses didn't make a decision until he had consulted the Supreme Law-Giver, *ADONAI*. Moshe prayed and the LORD responded to him that Tzelophehad's daughters were right:

They **should** be given the inheritance of their father, and the commandment would stand for all of Israel.

There was only one caveat (described in <u>Numbers 36</u>) which was that the daughters had to marry within their tribe.

Individual and community rights

These five brave women took a stand for their human rights. Some would say they remained limited due to the provision that they must marry within their clan, but culturally speaking, they were still granted significant freedom to marry whoever they wished within their tribal grouping.

Rabbi Johnathan Sacks, of blessed memory, delves deeper into the human rights discussion that arises from <u>Numbers 27</u> in his article titled, <u>Complexity of Human Rights</u>. Of particular interest, the dichotomy of the individual rights justly pursued by the five daughters of Tzelophehad and the group or tribal rights that are also an important part of wholesome justice.

Today, most people are only focused on their *individual* rights, to the exclusion or disregard of the needs and rights of the wider society. This can lead to major distortions in appropriate social justice. The "me" culture of today forces wider society to adapt to *outlandish policies* demanded by at times defiant individuals, in a less-than-holy and unjust way.

The lesson from <u>Numbers 27</u> is that when an individual pursues justice in a righteous and holy way, then their needs can and should be addressed, and the wider society will benefit from that individual situation.

Machlah, Noah, Choglah, Milcah, and Tirzah would marry within the tribe, for their own benefit, but also for the benefit of the nation of Israel.

Families come first, and this Scripture passage illustrates that.

The 5 daughters of Tzelophehad were blessed and heard because of their faithfulness and obedience, and the nation of Israel prospered, even to this day. Korah: the Rebellious Social Influencer